## **Squaric Acid Ester-Based Total Synthesis** of Echinochrome A

Eduardo Peña-Cabrera\*

Facultad de Química, Universidad de Guanajuato. Col. Noria Alta S/N. Guanajuato, Gto. 36050, Mexico

Lanny S. Liebeskind

Emory University, Department of Chemistry, 1515 Pierce Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30322

eduardop@quijote.ugto.mx

Received August 15, 2001

Abstract: The total synthesis of echinochrome A is described. Both key intermediates 5 and 8 were efficiently prepared from diisopropyl squarate 7. Nucleophilic addition of aryllithium 8 to 5, followed by thermal ring-expansion/ cyclization of the 1,2-adduct 4, furnished hydroquinone 3. Oxidation and full deprotection of **3** gave the title compound.

Echinochrome A 1 (Figure 1) is a highly oxygenated component of the pigments derived from sea urchins. It was first unambiguously identified by Moore and coworkers<sup>1</sup> in a mixture of ca. 30 compounds obtained from calcareous fragments of two Echinothrix species, i.e., E. diadema Linn and E. calamaris Pallis.

Echinochrome A possesses antibacterial properties against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative marine bacteria, particularly Pseudomonas strain No. 111 and Vibrio fisheri (NCMB 1281).<sup>2</sup> Echinochrome A was first synthesized by Wallenfels and Gauhe in 1943, albeit in very low overall yield (1.5-2%).<sup>3</sup> Herein is reported a successful total synthesis of the title compound utilizing the chemistry of squaric acid developed by Moore and Liebeskind.4

The total synthesis of echinochrome A was conceived as depicted in Scheme 1. The main feature of the proposed retrosynthetic analysis relies on the fact that both intermediates 5 and 8 can be synthesized from diisopropylsquarate 7, a common and readily available starting material.

The synthesis was begun with the preparation of 4-ethyl-3-isopropoxycyclobutene-1,2-dione 5 by a previously developed method (Scheme 2).<sup>5</sup> Diisopropylsquarate 7 was treated with EtMgBr at -78 °C and then quenched at low temperature to give the corresponding 1,2-adduct



Figure 1. Structure of echinochrome A.

6. The crude material smoothly rearranged to 5 in 66% overall yield upon treatment with a catalytic amount of concentrated HCl at 25 °C.

Vinyl-substituted cyclobutenone 10, the precursor of aryllithium 8, was prepared in 64% yield by addition of vinylmagnesium bromide to 7 followed by an aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl quench (Scheme 3). Compound 10 was then thermolyzed to produce the corresponding hydroquinone which, due to its high tendency to oxidize in air, was directly methylated with MeI/KOH in DMSO<sup>6</sup> to give 9 in 87% overall yield from 10.

Aryllithium 8 was generated from 9 with s-BuLi in the presence of TMEDA; 1,2-addition at the more electrophilic carbonyl group of  $5^3$  took place uneventfully to produce adduct 4 in 59% overall yield (Scheme 4). Adduct 4 thermally rearranged at 160 °C to produce hydroquinone **3**. The crude hydroquinone was immediately oxidized with ceric ammonium nitrate in ether/water to naphthoquinone 2, which, on exposure to air, hydrolyzed to naphthoquinone 11 in 95% yield from 4. This observations did not affect the synthetic plan since the last step involved the complete deprotection of the hydroxyl groups.

Toward this end, exposure of **11** to an excess of TMSI<sup>7</sup> in either CCl<sub>4</sub> or HBr<sup>8</sup> in acetic acid only gave mixtures of partially demethylated products. We then attempted to achieve the deprotection using BBr<sub>3</sub> in dichloromethane.<sup>9</sup> Full deprotection took place in 41% yield only when an excess of BBr<sub>3</sub> (10-fold) was used (eq 1). If a lesser amount of BBr3 was used, a complex mixture of partially demethylated products was observed.



In conclusion, a simple and efficient convergent total synthesis of echinochrome A starting from squaric acid esters was achieved.

## **Experimental Section**

General Methods. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 200 (200 MHz) spectrometer in deuteriochloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) with either tetramethylsilane (TMS) (0.0 ppm) or chloroform (7.26 ppm) as internal reference unless otherwise indicated. Data are reported in the following order: chemical shift in ppm ( $\delta$ ), multiplicities (br (broadened), s (singlet), d

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: (L.S.L.) chemLL1@emory.edu.

<sup>(1)</sup> Moore, R. E.; Singh, H.; Scheuer, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 3645.

<sup>(2)</sup> Service, M.; Wardlaw, A. C. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 1984, 79B, 161.

<sup>(3)</sup> Wallenfels, K.; Gauhe, A. Ber. 1943, 76, 325.
(4) (a) Moore, H. W.; Yerxa, B. R. In Synthetic Utility of Cyclobutendiones; Halton, B., Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, CT, 1995; Vol. 4, pp. *tendiones*; Halton, B., Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, C1, 1995; Vol. 4, pp 81–162. (b) Liebeskind, L. S. *Tetrahedron Symp. Print* **1989**, *45*, 3053– 3060. (c) Koo, S.; Liebeskind, L. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 3389– 3404. (d) Sun, L.; Liebeskind, L. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 12473 e) Paquette, L. A.; Doussot, P. *Res. Chem. Intermed.* **1996**, *22*, 767– 780. (f) Ohno, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Eguchi, S. *Synlett* **1998**, 1167. (5) (a) Liebeskind, L. S. *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 3053. (b) Liebeskind, L. S.; Fengl, R. W.; Wirtz, K. R.; Shawe, T. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2482.

<sup>2482.</sup> 

<sup>(6)</sup> Syper, L.; Kloc, K.; Mlochowski, J. *Tetrahedron* **1980**, *36*, 123.
(7) Rosen, B. I.; Weber, W. P. J. Org. Chem. **1977**, *42*, 3463.

<sup>(8)</sup> Kawasaki, I.; Matsuda, K.; Kaneko, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1971**. 44. 1986.

<sup>(9)</sup> McOmie, J. F. W.; West, D. E. Organic Syntheses; Wiley: New York, 1973; Collect. Vol. V, p 412.





## Scheme 2









(doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), sex (sextet), hep (heptet), m (multiplet), exch (exchangeable), app (apparent)), coupling constants, *J* (Hz), and integration. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FTIR 1600 series spectrophotometer. Peaks are reported (cm<sup>-1</sup>) with the following relative intensities: s (strong, 67–100%), m (medium 40–67%), and w (weak 20–40%).

Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel plates with F-254 indicator. Acid-pretreated silica gel plates were used to monitor the final deprotection of **2**. Visualization was accomplished by UV light, iodine, or *p*-anisaldehyde solution. Medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) was performed as described by Baeckström et al.<sup>10</sup> using gradient solutions with the indicated solvent systems. Purification of **1** was carried out using acid-pretreated silica gel. THF was dried over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. TMEDA was distilled from sodium and stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. All reactions were performed under a dry N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in oven- and or flame-dried glassware.

**Commercial Chemicals.** The following materials were obtained from commercial sources: *s*-BuLi, EtMgBr, MeI, ceric ammonium nitrate, TMSI, HBr in acetic acid, and BBr<sub>3</sub>.

Experimental Procedures. 3-Ethyl-4-isopropoxycyclobuten-1,2-dione (5). Ethylmagnesium bromide (5.60 mL, 3.00 M, 16.800 mmol, 1.11 equiv) was added via syringe to a cold (-78 °C) THF (40 mL) solution of 2,3-diisopropyl squarate (3.00 g, 15.14 mmol, 1.00 equiv). The reaction mixture was slowly allowed to reach 25 °C, and then it was stirred for 8 h at that temperature. After that period of time, the dark solution was cooled to -78 °C and quenched with a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution. After the mixture warmed to 25 °C, it was extracted with ether  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ , and the organic extracts were combined and dried over anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil. The oil was taken up in dichloromethane (20 mL) and treated with 4 drops of concentrated HCl. After ca. 4 h, all of the starting material had reacted. The dark solution was washed with water  $(1 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ , dried over anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil (1.4 g, 8.30 mmol, 55%). TLC (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f$ = 0.36); chromatographic purification (Baeckström column, silica gel,  $1.5 \times 10$  cm, ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient); IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, KCl, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2986 (m), 2940 (m), 1792 (s), 1759 (s), 1593 (s); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  5.28 (sept, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.51 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.34 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 6 H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) & 197.6, 195.0, 194.1, 185.0, 78.7, 22.4, 18.3, 9.7; HRMS(EI) calcd for C9H12O4 168.0786, found 168.0771.

1,2-Diisopropyl-3-hydroxy-3-vinylcyclobutenone (10). To a cold (-78 °C, dry ice/acetone) THF (20 mL) solution of diisopropylsquarate (3.0 g, 15.10 mmol) was added vinylmagnesium bromide (18.74 mmol, 15.6 mL, 1.00 M) dropwise. After 4 h, the reaction was quenched at -78 °C with aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (20 mL). The crude mixture was allowed to reach 25 °C and then extracted with ether (3  $\times$  20 mL), and the organic extracts were combined and dried over anhyd MgSO4. The mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product (2.20 g, 64%) was obtained as a yellow oil. TLC (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f = 0.26$ ); chromatographic purification (Baeckström column, silica gel,  $1.5 \times 10$  cm, ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient); IR (NaCl, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3384 (s), 2975 (s), 2935 (s), 2875 (m), 1650 (s), 1540 (m); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz)  $\delta$  5.95 (dd, J = 17.3, 10.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.50 (dd, J = 17.3, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.31 (dd, J = 10.6, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.85 (two overlapping multiplets, J = 6.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.37 (bs, 1 H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H),  $\hat{1}$ .36 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz)  $\delta$  184.2, 166.1, 134.6, 132.4, 118.0, 86.6, 77.3, 73.6, 22.7, 22.5, 22.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for C12H8O4 226.1205, found 226.1196.

**1,4-Dimethoxy-2,3-diisopropoxybenzene (9).** Cyclobutenone **10** (2.26 g, 10.0 mmol) was immersed into a preheated oil bath at 160 °C for 15 min. The hydroquinone thus formed ( $R_r$ = 0.5, 20% EtOAc/hexanes) was taken up in DMSO (10 mL), and ground KOH (4.56 g, 80 mmol) was added followed by MeI (2.84

<sup>(10)</sup> Baeckström, P.; Stridh, K.; Li, L.; Norin, T. Acta Chem. Scand. 1987, B41, 442.



g, 20 mmol). After 2 h, the mixture was poured into water (100 mL). The mixture was extracted with ether (3 × 10 mL), and the organic extracts were combined and dried over anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product (2.20 g, 87%) was obtained as a yellow oil after purification: TLC (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f$  = 0.8); chromatographic purification (Baeck ström column, silica gel, 1.5 cm × 10 cm, ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient); IR (NaCl, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3512 (w), 2975 (s), 2973 (s), 2931 (s), 1594 (w), 1488 (s); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  6.55 (s, 2 H), 4.47 (m, J = 6.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.78 (s, 6 H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.1, 12 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz)  $\delta$  148.4, 141.7, 105.6, 75.1, 55.9, 22.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 254.1518, found 254.1530.

2-Ethyl-3-isopropoxy-4-hydroxy-4-(2',5'-dimethoxy-3',4'diisopropoxyphenyl)-2-cyclobuten-1-one (4). To a cold (-78 °C) mixture of TMEDA (0.23 g, 1.97 mmol) and sec-butyllithium (1.5 mL, 1.3 M, 1.97 mmol) was added dropwise a THF (5 mL) solution of 1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-diisopropoxybenzene 9 (0.5 g, 1.97 mmol) via cannula. At this point, the solution turned bright yellow. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h and at 25 °C for 1 h. It was then cooled to -78 °C and cannulated into a cold (-78 °C) THF (7 mL) solution of 3-ethyl-4-isopropoxycyclobuten-1,2-dione 5 (0.3 g, 1.97 mmol). After 2 h, the reaction was quenched at -78 °C with water. The mixture was warmed to 25 °C and extracted with ether (3  $\times$  20 mL). The organic extracts were combined and dried over anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil (0.49 g, 59%): TLC (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f = 0.16$ ); chromatographic purification (Baeckström column, silica gel, 1.5  $\times$  10 cm, ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient); IR (KCl, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3387 (w), 2975 (w), 2931 (w), 1791 (s), 1748 (s), 1617 (s); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz)  $\delta$  6.45 (s, 1 H), 5.26 (br s, 1 H), 4.79 (m, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (m, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (m, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 3 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 2.23 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.44 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.36 (d, J = 6.3Hz, 3 H), 1.3–1.2 (m, 6H), 1.18 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) & 190.4, 178.8, 150.1, 146.3, 145.8, 141.8, 129.0, 123.8, 104.2, 93.4, 77.2 (s), 77.3, 60.8 (s), 75.6, 75.4, 62.2, 55.9, 22.7, 22.5, 22.4, 22.3, 15.9, 11.9; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for C23H34O7 422.2305, found 422.2313.

**2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-6,7-diisopropoxy-5,8-dimethoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (11).** Cyclobutenone **4** (0.43 g, 1.0 mmol) was placed into a round-bottomed flask fitted with a condenser. The system was purged several times with nitrogen and then immersed into an oil bath at 150 °C. After 20 min, the reaction had gone to completion as indicated by TLC (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f$  = 0.67). The yellow oil was taken up in ether (5 mL), and water (3 mL) was added. To this mixture was gradually added solid ceric ammonium nitrate until the hydro-quinone was completely oxidized to the naphthoquinone **2**. The organic phase was then separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether (3 × 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and dried over anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was

filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a bright red oil (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f = 0.68$ ), which on 7 h exposure to air hydrolyzed to hydroxynaphthoquinone **11** (0.35 g, 91%); TLC (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f = 0.40$ ); chromatographic purification (Baeckström column, silica gel,  $1.5 \times 10$  cm, ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient); IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3350 (m), 2975 (s), 2935 (s), 2875 (m), 1650 (s), 1540 (m); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz)  $\delta$  7.45 (bs, 1 H), 4.72 (m, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.68 (m, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 2.53 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.15 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz)  $\delta$  183.8, 179.8, 153.8, 152.7, 152.3, 151.8, 150.5, 125.0, 121.8, 118.2, 76.9, 76.6, 61.2, 22.7, 22.6, 16.7, 12.6; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>7</sub> 379.1757, found 379.1746.

Echinochrome A. To a cold (-78 °C) dichloromethane (3 mL) solution of naphthoquinone 11 (230 mg, 0.6 mmol) was added dropwise a dichloromethane solution of BBr<sub>3</sub> (6.1 mL, 1.00 M, 6.1 mmol, 10 equiv). The initially pale yellow solution turned red as the addition proceeds. After the addition was complete, the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. After that time, the reaction was quenched with water (30 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was warmed to 25 °C and stirred at that temperature for 24 h to ensure a complete hydrolysis. The organic phase was then separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (4  $\times$  15 mL). The organic extracts were combined and dried over anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a bright red solid. The product (65 mg, 41%) was obtained as a bright-red solid after chromatography purification): TLC (H<sup>+</sup>-pretreated silica gel, 40% ethyl acetate/hexanes,  $R_f = 0.34$ ); chromatographic purification (Baeckström column, H<sup>+</sup>-pretreated silica gel, 1.5  $\times$  10 cm, ethyl acetate/hexanes); mp 215–216 °C (lit.1 mp 222–223 from chloroform) (ethyl acetate/hexanes, 1:4); IR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3308 (s), 2975 (m), 2930 (m), 2880 (m), 1584 (s), 1465 (m), 1422 (s), 1280 (s); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz)  $\delta$  2.74 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz)  $\delta$  179.6, 177.9, 165.1, 163.5, 155.0, 143.1, 140.9, 126.8, 108.3, 103.7, 17.4, 13.3; HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>7</sub> 266.0427, found 266.0430.

**Acknowledgment.** E.P.-C. wishes to thank Professor Cecilio Alvarez-Toledano for performing spectral analyses on some of the derivatives prepared, as well as Mr. Oracio Serrano and Mr. Marco A. Ramirez for their contribution to this project. Financial support from CONACyT (Mexico) No. 27600-E is gratefully acknowledged.

**Supporting Information Available:** NMR spectra for obtained compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO016034M